

**iAccessible Accessibility Conformance Report (ACR)  
Revised Section 508 Edition  
Based on VPAT® Version 2.5**

**Name of Product/Version:** iAccessible Web Platform, March 2026 Release

**Vendor:** Revelo Software

**Authorized Accessibility Support:** Optimal Solutions Group, LLC

**Report Date:** June 10, 2026

**Product Description:** iAccessible is a web-based accessibility monitoring, testing, and reporting platform that supports website accessibility assessment, dashboard-based reporting, service monitoring, page scanning, and generated accessibility reports. The platform combines automated scanning, manual review workflows, and reporting tools to help organizations identify, prioritize, and track accessibility issues across digital content.

**Contact Information:**

Accessibility questions, accommodation requests, accessibility support inquiries, and remediation discussions may be directed to:

Revelo Accessibility Team

Revelo Software

5825 University Research Court, Suite 1100

College Park, MD 20742

Email: [info@iaccessible.com](mailto:info@iaccessible.com)

Support: [info@revelosoftware.com](mailto:info@revelosoftware.com)

## **Evaluation Methods Used:**

This evaluation used a representative-sample testing methodology covering core iAccessible workflows, shared navigation components, and high-impact user interactions. Testing included automated accessibility scans, manual keyboard testing, manual review of semantic structure and user interface behavior, assistive technology testing, and expert evaluation against WCAG 2.0, WCAG 2.1, WCAG 2.2 Level A and Level AA, and Revised Section 508 requirements.

Automated tools used included ANDI and the WAVE browser extension. Automated findings were manually reviewed to confirm applicability, severity, and user impact.

Manual assessment was performed using Chrome Version 122.0.6261.129 on Windows 10 and Microsoft Edge on Windows 10.

Manual testing included keyboard-only navigation, focus order review, visible focus review, form label and instruction review, error-message review, link purpose review, heading and landmark review, and review of representative dashboard, report, login, scanning, and service-monitoring pages.

Assistive Technologies Used: Assistive technology testing included NVDA 2023.3.1 on Windows. Limited spot testing was also conducted using VoiceOver on macOS. Keyboard-only navigation was tested across supported desktop browsers. Full testing with JAWS, TalkBack, ZoomText, speech input, and mobile assistive technologies was not completed as part of this evaluation.

Additional browser and platform combinations may be evaluated as part of future accessibility validation activities.

## Evaluator Qualifications and Experience

Accessibility evaluation activities were performed by personnel with more than five years of experience evaluating web applications, dashboards, generated documents, and interactive workflows against WCAG and Revised Section 508 requirements. Testing personnel involved in the evaluation process have completed accessibility-related training aligned with WCAG and Section 508 evaluation practices, including DHS Trusted Tester methodologies.

Evaluation activities included manual keyboard accessibility testing, assistive technology testing, semantic structure and ARIA review, focus management analysis, form validation and error handling review, generated document accessibility review, and workflow-based accessibility evaluation across representative user journeys.

## Testing Artifacts and Evidence

Testing artifacts are maintained internally and may include automated scan outputs, keyboard testing observations, assistive technology testing notes, issue tracking records, remediation validation notes, workflow review documentation, and accessibility-related testing screenshots where applicable.

## Scope of Evaluation

The pages in the following table were evaluated as part of the assessment on which this report is based.

Test Unit	URL
Home Page	<a href="https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible">https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible</a>
Login	<a href="https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/User/Login">https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/User/Login</a>
Entity Data Report	<a href="https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Report/EntityDataDL">https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Report/EntityDataDL</a>
Scan Webpage	<a href="https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Crawler/AdHoc508Scan">https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Crawler/AdHoc508Scan</a>
Consolidated Dashboard	<a href="https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Report/TableauDashboards">https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Report/TableauDashboards</a>
Service Monitor	<a href="https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Report/ServiceMonitor">https://optimalrevelotest.com/iAccessible/Report/ServiceMonitor</a>

In addition to the pages listed above, the following shared components were tested where they appeared in the evaluated workflows:

- Global navigation bar
- Footer
- Login controls
- Form fields and buttons
- Dashboard navigation elements
- Report table interactions
- Status and notification messages
- Links and calls to action

This evaluation was limited to the representative pages, workflows, and shared components listed above. The sample was selected to cover high-impact user interactions, including login, navigation, scanning, reporting, dashboards, and service monitoring. Role-based workflows, administrative functions, configuration settings, error-state scenarios, mobile workflows, and every generated document variation were not comprehensively evaluated and may require additional testing.

## Applicable Standards/Guidelines:

This report covers the degree of conformance for the following accessibility standard/guidelines:

Standard/Guideline	Included In Report
<a href="#">Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.0</a>	Level A (Yes) Level AA (Yes) Level AAA (No)
<a href="#">Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.1</a>	Level A (Yes) Level AA (Yes) Level AAA (No)
<a href="#">Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.2</a>	Level A (Yes)

	Level AA (Yes) Level AAA (No)
--	----------------------------------

## Terms

The terms used in the Conformance Level information are defined as follows:

- **Supports:** The functionality of the product meets the criterion without known exceptions or provides equivalent facilitation.
- **Partially Supports:** Some functionality of the product does not fully meet the criterion, or support varies across workflows, components, or generated outputs.
- **Does Not Support:** Significant portions of the product functionality do not meet the criterion.
- **Not Applicable:** The criterion is not relevant to the product or to the evaluated functionality.
- **Not Evaluated:** The product has not been evaluated against the criterion. This status is used only where permitted by the applicable reporting standard.

## WCAG and Revised Section 508 Reporting

Tables in this report document conformance with WCAG 2.0, WCAG 2.1, and WCAG 2.2 Success Criteria at Level A and Level AA, which are incorporated by reference in the Revised Section 508 Standards where applicable.

Specifically, the WCAG evaluation supports review of the following Revised Section 508 requirements:

- **Chapter 5 – Software**
  - 501.1 Scope
  - 504.2 Content Creation or Editing

- **Chapter 6 – Support Documentation and Services**
  - 602.3 Electronic Support Documentation

## **Conformance Summary**

iAccessible partially supports WCAG 2.1 Level AA and WCAG 2.2 Level AA requirements based on the representative sample evaluated for this report. The evaluated web application workflows support many Level A and Level AA requirements, including keyboard accessibility, semantic structure, page titles, labels, link purpose, contrast, navigation consistency, and assistive technology interpretation. Known limitations remain in selected areas, including focus visibility consistency, generated PDF accessibility, redundant entry, error prevention for data-modifying actions, target size for some compact controls, and programmatic exposure of some status messages. Additional workflows, environments, assistive technologies, browsers, and generated document variations may require separate evaluation.

## **Conformance Scope**

When reporting on conformance with WCAG success criteria, evaluations are based on the WCAG conformance requirements, including:

- Full pages
- Complete processes included in the tested sample
- Accessibility-supported ways of using technologies

The evaluation was limited to the representative pages, workflows, shared components, and generated outputs identified in this report. Results should not be interpreted as full-product certification or as validation of every role, configuration, administrative workflow, mobile workflow, or generated document variation.

## **Accessibility Remediation and Accountability**

Known accessibility limitations are tracked as part of Revelo's accessibility improvement process. Remediation is prioritized based on user impact, WCAG/Section 508 risk, frequency of use, and relationship to core workflows. Accessibility updates are reviewed by the product, engineering, and accessibility teams, with regression testing performed for remediated issues before release where applicable. Updated ACR documentation may be issued after material accessibility improvements, major product releases, or upon customer request.

## **Overall Accessibility Position**

Based on the representative sample evaluated for this report, iAccessible does not fully conform to WCAG 2.2 Level AA. The evaluated workflows support many WCAG Level A and Level AA requirements; however, known accessibility limitations remain in areas including focus visibility, generated PDF accessibility, status message exposure, redundant entry, target size, dragging alternatives, and error prevention for certain workflows.

Revelo Software is actively addressing identified accessibility issues through an ongoing remediation, regression testing, and accessibility validation process described in this report.

## Table 1: Success Criteria, Level A

Notes:

- EN 301 549 criteria are not evaluated in this report.
- Web conformance ratings apply to the evaluated iAccessible web application pages and shared components.
- Electronic document ratings apply only to documents generated by the application during evaluated workflows. Generated CSV and XLS outputs are structured data files. Generated PDF reports may require additional tagging or remediation depending on complexity, layout, and report configuration.

Criteria	Conformance Level	Remarks and Explanations
<a href="#">1.1.1 Non-text Content</a> (Level A)	<b>Web:</b> Supports <b>Electronic Docs:</b> Partially Supports	<p>Web: Non-text elements in the evaluated application pages provide text alternatives or accessible names where required.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Some generated PDF reports may not be fully tagged or may require additional remediation to ensure all meaningful non-text content, charts, icons, and images have appropriate text alternatives. CSV and XLS outputs are not expected to contain non-text content in the evaluated workflows.</p>
<a href="#">1.2.1 Audio-only and Video-only (Prerecorded)</a> (Level A)	<b>Web:</b> Not Applicable <b>Electronic Docs:</b> Not Applicable	<p>The evaluated product workflows do not include prerecorded audio-only or video-only content.</p>

<p><a href="#">1.2.2 Captions (Prerecorded)</a> (Level A)</p>	<p><b>Web:</b> Not Applicable <b>Electronic Docs:</b> Not Applicable</p>	<p>The evaluated product workflows do not include prerecorded synchronized media requiring captions.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.2.3 Audio Description or Media Alternative (Prerecorded)</a> (Level A)</p>	<p><b>Web:</b> Not Applicable <b>Electronic Docs:</b> Not Applicable</p>	<p>The evaluated product workflows do not include prerecorded video content requiring audio description or a media alternative.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.3.1 Info and Relationships</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages use semantic HTML structure, ARIA landmarks, headings, labels, and table markup to communicate information, structure, and relationships to assistive technologies.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated PDF reports include structural tagging and document semantics in many standard report workflows. However, some generated PDFs may require additional tagging or remediation to ensure consistent reading order, heading hierarchy, table relationships, and assistive technology interpretation across all report configurations and export variations.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.3.2 Meaningful Sequence</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Content in the evaluated application pages is presented in a meaningful sequence. Keyboard navigation, visual layout, DOM order,</p>

		<p>and screen reader reading order are aligned in the tested workflows.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Some generated PDF reports may require additional tagging or remediation to ensure that reading order, heading sequence, table structure, and related content are consistently presented in a meaningful sequence across all report configurations and export variations.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.3.3 Sensory Characteristics</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Supports</p>	<p>Web: Instructions and interface content in the evaluated workflows do not rely solely on shape, color, size, visual location, orientation, or sound to communicate meaning.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated reports do not rely solely on sensory characteristics to communicate meaning.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.4.1 Use of Color</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Supports</p>	<p>Web: Information conveyed through color in the evaluated workflows is also communicated through text, labels, icons, patterns, or programmatic information where needed.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated reports do not rely on color alone to communicate status, meaning, or results.</p>

<a href="#">1.4.2 Audio Control</a> (Level A)	Web: <b>Not Applicable</b> Electronic Docs: <b>Not Applicable</b>	The evaluated product workflows do not include audio that plays automatically. Therefore, audio control requirements are not applicable.
<a href="#">2.1.1 Keyboard</a> (Level A)	Web: <b>Supports</b> Electronic Docs: <b>Partially Supports</b>	Web: Core functionality in the evaluated application pages can be reached and operated using a keyboard. Tested workflows included navigation, login, scanning, report access, dashboard navigation, and service-monitoring interactions.  Electronic Docs: Some generated PDF reports may require additional tagging or remediation to ensure reliable keyboard navigation, logical reading order, and assistive technology interpretation across all report configurations and export variations.
<a href="#">2.1.2 No Keyboard Trap</a> (Level A)	Web: <b>Supports</b> Electronic Docs: <b>Not Applicable</b>	Web: Keyboard focus can move into, through, and out of interactive components in the evaluated workflows without trapping the user. No keyboard traps were identified in the tested sample.  Electronic Docs: This criterion is not applicable to static generated document outputs in the evaluated workflows.

<p><a href="#">2.1.4 Character Key Shortcuts</a> (Level A 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: <b>Not Applicable</b> Electronic Docs: <b>Not Applicable</b></p>	<p>The evaluated application workflows do not implement single-character keyboard shortcuts that are active without modifier keys.</p>
<p><a href="#">2.2.1 Timing Adjustable</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Partially Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: The application includes session timeout and timing adjustment behavior for certain workflows. Users are provided an option to extend active sessions in some scenarios. However, timing adjustment functionality is not implemented consistently across all workflows and may not fully satisfy all WCAG timing-adjustment expectations.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">2.2.2 Pause, Stop, Hide</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: <b>Not Applicable</b> Electronic Docs: <b>Not Applicable</b></p>	<p>The evaluated application workflows do not include automatically moving, blinking, scrolling, or auto-updating content requiring pause, stop, or hide controls.</p>
<p><a href="#">2.3.1 Three Flashes or Below Threshold</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: <b>Not Applicable</b> Electronic Docs: <b>Not Applicable</b></p>	<p>The evaluated workflows do not include flashing content or visual effects that would exceed seizure-related flash thresholds.</p>
<p><a href="#">2.4.1 Bypass Blocks</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages provide mechanisms, including skip navigation links and semantic landmarks, to bypass repeated navigation and move directly to primary content regions.</p>

		Electronic Docs: Not Applicable
<a href="#">2.4.2 Page Titled</a> (Level A)	Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages include descriptive page titles that communicate the page purpose, workflow context, or application location.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: This criterion is not applicable to static generated document outputs in the evaluated workflows.</p>
<a href="#">2.4.3 Focus Order</a> (Level A)	Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports	<p>Web: Interactive elements in the evaluated workflows follow a logical and predictable focus order that aligns with the visual presentation and intended interaction sequence.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Some generated PDF reports may require additional tagging or remediation to ensure logical focus order and assistive technology navigation across all report configurations and export variations.</p>
<a href="#">2.4.4 Link Purpose (In Context)</a> (Level A)	Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports	Web: Links and interactive controls in the evaluated workflows provide descriptive text or contextual meaning sufficient to communicate purpose to assistive technology users.

		Electronic Docs: Generated PDF reports may contain exported links or references whose accessible context can vary depending on report structure, export formatting, or remediation state.
<a href="#">2.5.1 Pointer Gestures</a> (Level A 2.1 only)	Web: Not Applicable Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	The evaluated workflows do not require multipoint gestures or path-based gestures for operation of core functionality.
<a href="#">2.5.2 Pointer Cancellation</a> (Level A 2.1 only)	Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	Web: Pointer-based interactions in the evaluated workflows do not rely on irreversible down-event activation and allow standard browser or interface behavior for cancellation or interruption of pointer actions.  Electronic Docs: Not Applicable
<a href="#">2.5.3 Label in Name</a> (Level A 2.1 only)	Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	Web: Visible labels for evaluated form controls and interactive elements match or are contained within the corresponding accessible names exposed to assistive technologies.  Electronic Docs: Not Applicable
<a href="#">2.5.4 Motion Actuation</a> (Level A 2.1 only)	Web: Not Applicable Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	The evaluated workflows do not rely on device motion or gesture-based actuation for operation of core functionality.

<p><a href="#">3.1.1 Language of Page</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages define the primary language using the HTML lang attribute, allowing assistive technologies to interpret page content appropriately.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated PDF reports may contain document metadata or exported content whose language settings vary depending on report configuration, export method, or remediation state.</p>
<p><a href="#">3.2.1 On Focus</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Focus movement within evaluated workflows does not trigger unexpected context changes such as automatic navigation, window launches, or major interface updates.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">3.2.2 On Input</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Changes to form controls and user inputs within the evaluated workflows do not trigger unexpected context changes without user awareness.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">3.2.6 Consistent Help</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Help-related functionality, support access points, and assistance mechanisms included in the evaluated workflows are</p>

		<p>presented consistently throughout the application.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">3.3.1 Error Identification</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Input validation errors identified in the evaluated workflows are communicated using descriptive text, visual indicators, or programmatic associations that help users identify the affected field or condition.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">3.3.2 Labels or Instructions</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated form fields, controls, filters, and interactive elements provide labels, instructions, or contextual guidance sufficient to communicate expected input and operation.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">3.3.7 Redundant Entry</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Does Not Support Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Certain evaluated workflows may require users to re-enter information that was previously provided earlier in the same process. Mechanisms for reusing, confirming, or automatically populating previously entered information are not consistently implemented across all workflows.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">4.1.1 Parsing</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages use valid semantic HTML structure with appropriate nesting, labeling, and structural relationships</p>

		<p>that support assistive technology interpretation.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated PDF reports may require additional tagging or remediation to ensure consistent structural interpretation across assistive technologies and export variations.</p>
<p><a href="#">4.1.2 Name, Role, Value</a> (Level A)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated user interface controls expose accessible names, roles, states, and values through native HTML semantics, ARIA attributes, or other programmatic mechanisms compatible with assistive technologies.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>

## Table 2: Success Criteria, Level AA

Notes:

- EN 301 549 criteria are not evaluated in this report.
- Web conformance ratings apply to the evaluated iAccessible web application pages, workflows, and shared components.
- Electronic document ratings apply only to documents generated by the application during evaluated workflows. Generated CSV and XLS outputs are structured data files. Generated PDF reports may require additional tagging or remediation depending on complexity, layout, and report configuration.

Criteria	Conformance Level	Remarks and Explanations
----------	-------------------	--------------------------

<p><a href="#">1.2.4 Captions (Live)</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Not Applicable Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>The evaluated product workflows do not include live audio or live synchronized media. Therefore, live captions are not applicable.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.2.5 Audio Description (Prerecorded)</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Not Applicable Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>The evaluated product workflows do not include prerecorded video content requiring audio description.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.3.4 Orientation</a> (Level AA 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: The evaluated application workflows do not restrict content or functionality to a single display orientation. Content can be used in supported desktop browser environments without requiring portrait-only or landscape-only orientation.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">1.3.5 Identify Input Purpose</a> (Level AA 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Input fields in the evaluated workflows use labels, field types, autocomplete attributes, or programmatic context where applicable to communicate input purpose to users and assistive technologies.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">1.4.3 Contrast (Minimum)</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Text and meaningful visual content in the evaluated application workflows meet WCAG minimum contrast requirements</p>

		<p>Electronic Docs: Generated reports generally use readable text and background contrast. However, some exported charts, visual indicators, or customized report configurations may require additional review or remediation to ensure contrast requirements are consistently met.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.4.4 Resize text</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Text can be resized up to 200% using standard browser zoom and text scaling functionality without loss of content or functionality in the evaluated workflows.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">1.4.5 Images of Text</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages avoid images of text and use actual text for interface labels, instructions, headings, and content.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated PDF reports generally avoid images of text for primary report content. However, exported screenshots, visualizations, logos, or chart-based content may contain text embedded in images depending on report configuration and may require additional review or remediation.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.4.10 Reflow</a> (Level AA 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages and workflows can be viewed at a width equivalent to 320 CSS</p>

		<p>pixels without loss of content or functionality and without requiring two-dimensional scrolling, except where two-dimensional layout is necessary for data tables, charts, or dashboard visualizations.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">1.4.11 Non-text Contrast</a> (Level AA 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Meaningful non-text interface elements in the evaluated workflows, including controls, icons, focus indicators, and visual boundaries, provide sufficient contrast against adjacent colors.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated reports use distinguishable icons, chart elements, and visual indicators. However, some exported chart colors, status indicators, or customized report visualizations may require additional review to ensure consistent non-text contrast across all report configurations.</p>
<p><a href="#">1.4.12 Text Spacing</a> (Level AA 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application content remains readable and functional when common text-spacing adjustments are applied, including increased line height, paragraph spacing, letter spacing, and word spacing.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>

<p><a href="#">1.4.13 Content on Hover or Focus</a> (Level AA 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Additional content that appears on hover or focus in the evaluated workflows, such as tooltips or contextual help, can be dismissed, remains visible while triggered, and does not disappear unexpectedly when users move pointer or keyboard focus within the relevant area.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">2.4.5 Multiple Ways</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages can be located and accessed through multiple mechanisms, including global navigation, dashboard links, report links, and workflow-specific controls.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Generated PDF reports may include navigation aids such as headings, bookmarks, or table-of-contents structures depending on report type, configuration, and remediation state. These navigation aids may not be present consistently across all generated report variations.</p>
<p><a href="#">2.4.6 Headings and Labels</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Evaluated application pages use descriptive headings, labels, and section titles that communicate structure, workflow context, and control purpose to users and assistive technologies.</p>

		<p>Electronic Docs: Generated PDF reports may include headings, labels, and structural organization depending on report configuration and remediation state. Some exported reports may require additional tagging or structural remediation to ensure consistent heading hierarchy and navigational clarity.</p>
<p><a href="#">2.4.7 Focus Visible</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Does Not Support Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Certain interactive controls in the evaluated workflows, including compact icons, icon-only controls, dense dashboard actions, and some secondary interface controls, do not consistently provide sufficiently visible keyboard focus indicators. Focus visibility may be reduced in dark-themed or visually dense interface regions.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">2.4.11 Focus Not Obscured (Minimum)</a> (Level AA 2.2 only)</p>	<p><b>Web:</b> Partially Supports <b>Electronic Docs:</b> Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Focused elements generally remain visible and are typically not obscured by sticky headers, navigation regions, or modal overlays during standard workflows. However, certain dense dashboard layouts and responsive states may cause focused controls near container boundaries to become partially obscured during zoomed or constrained viewport scenarios.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>

<p><a href="#">2.5.7 Dragging Movements</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Partially Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Core evaluated workflows do not require dragging movements for operation. However, certain optional interface interactions, such as table or filter reordering behaviors, may not provide equivalent keyboard-accessible or single-pointer alternatives in all scenarios.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">2.5.8 Target Size (Minimum)</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Partially Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Most interactive controls in the evaluated workflows meet or exceed the WCAG minimum target size recommendations or provide adequate spacing between adjacent controls. However, certain compact icons, close buttons, and dense dashboard controls may not consistently meet minimum target size expectations in all interface configurations.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">3.1.2 Language of Parts</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Not Applicable Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>The evaluated application workflows and generated reports are currently limited to English-language content and do not include multilingual passages requiring separate language identification.</p>
<p><a href="#">3.2.3 Consistent Navigation</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Partially Supports</p>	<p>Web: Navigation mechanisms, workflow controls, and primary interface regions remain consistent throughout the evaluated application workflows unless intentionally modified by the user.</p>

		Electronic Docs: Generated reports may include navigational structures that vary depending on export type, report configuration, and remediation state.
<a href="#">3.2.4 Consistent Identification</a> (Level AA)	Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	Web: Interface controls and components with similar functionality are identified consistently throughout the evaluated workflows.  Electronic Docs: Not Applicable
<a href="#">3.3.3 Error Suggestion</a> (Level AA)	Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	Web: Where input validation errors are identified in the evaluated workflows, the application provides descriptive guidance, validation messaging, or contextual suggestions to help users understand and correct the issue.  Electronic Docs: Not Applicable
<a href="#">3.3.4 Error Prevention (Legal, Financial, Data)</a> (Level AA)	Web: Does Not Support Electronic Docs: Not Applicable	Web: Certain evaluated workflows allow modification, deletion, or submission of data without consistent confirmation, review, reversal, or recovery mechanisms. Additional confirmation dialogs, validation steps, and undo/recovery functionality are planned for future remediation efforts.  Electronic Docs: Not Applicable

<p><a href="#">3.3.8 Accessible Authentication (Minimum)</a> (Level AA)</p>	<p>Web: Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: The evaluated authentication workflows do not rely on cognitive function tests such as memorization of complex patterns, solving puzzles, or interpretation of images. Standard browser-assisted authentication behaviors, including password managers, copy-and-paste functionality, and autocomplete features, are supported in the evaluated login workflows. CAPTCHA or similar visual-only authentication mechanisms were not identified in the tested sample.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>
<p><a href="#">4.1.3 Status Messages</a> (Level AA 2.1 only)</p>	<p>Web: Partially Supports Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>	<p>Web: Certain status messages, notifications, validation updates, and workflow changes presented in the evaluated application workflows are visually communicated but are not consistently exposed programmatically through ARIA live regions or equivalent assistive technology mechanisms. As a result, some screen reader users may not receive timely notification of status changes in all workflows.</p> <p>Electronic Docs: Not Applicable</p>

## Accessibility Remediation and Ongoing Improvement

Known accessibility limitations identified during evaluation are tracked as part of Revelo’s accessibility improvement and remediation process. Remediation priorities are based on user impact, WCAG and Section 508 risk, workflow criticality, frequency of use, and technical feasibility.

Accessibility improvements are reviewed collaboratively by product, engineering, and accessibility personnel. Regression testing is performed for remediated issues where applicable before release into production workflows. Accessibility testing activities may include automated analysis, manual keyboard testing, assistive technology testing, and targeted validation of remediated workflows.

Updated Accessibility Conformance Reports may be issued following major product releases, significant remediation efforts, or material accessibility-related updates to evaluated workflows and functionality.

## **Accessibility Remediation Roadmap**

The following remediation activities are planned based on the findings identified during this evaluation:

### 0–90 Day Remediation Priorities

- Improve visibility and consistency of keyboard focus indicators across compact controls, icon-only buttons, and dense dashboard workflows.
- Improve programmatic exposure of status messages and notifications using ARIA live regions or equivalent assistive technology mechanisms.
- Implement additional confirmation, review, and recovery mechanisms for workflows involving data modification, deletion, or submission actions.

### 3–6 Month Remediation Priorities

- Improve accessibility tagging, document structure, heading hierarchy, reading order, and assistive technology interpretation for generated PDF reports and exported document variations.
- Continue accessibility validation of exported charts, visualizations, and report configurations to improve consistency across generated outputs.

## **Accessibility Governance**

Accessibility activities are integrated into ongoing product development, quality assurance, remediation tracking, and release management workflows. Accessibility issues identified through testing, customer feedback, or internal review are prioritized based on user impact, WCAG and Section 508 risk, workflow criticality, and implementation feasibility.

Accessibility reviews and remediation activities are coordinated across Product Management, Engineering, Quality Assurance, and Accessibility personnel as part of the platform's continuous improvement process.

## **Responsible Teams**

Accessibility remediation activities are coordinated collaboratively across Product Management, Engineering, Quality Assurance, and Accessibility personnel.

## **Retesting and Validation**

Remediated functionality is subject to regression testing and targeted accessibility validation prior to release into production workflows where applicable. Validation activities may include automated analysis, manual keyboard testing, assistive technology testing, and workflow-specific review.

## **Accessibility Conformance Report Updates**

Updated Accessibility Conformance Reports may be issued following major product releases, significant remediation efforts, or material accessibility-related changes affecting evaluated workflows or functionality.

## **Evaluation Limitations**

This report reflects the accessibility state of the evaluated iAccessible workflows, shared components, and generated outputs at the time of testing. The evaluation was based on a representative sample of workflows and environments and should not be interpreted as certification of universal accessibility across every role, configuration, export variation, browser, platform, assistive technology combination, or future product release.